

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/751,076	12/29/2000	Shlomi Harif	AUS920000946US1	9022
35525 75	590 07/25/2006		EXAMINER	
IBM CORP (YA)			PIZIALI, JEFFREY J	
C/O YEE & ASSOCIATES PC				
P.O. BOX 802333			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DALLAS, TX	75380		2629	_

DATE MAILED: 07/25/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/751,076	HARIF, SHLOMI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jeff Piziali	2629			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Ap	oril 2006				
	_				
· <u> </u>					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	-				
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 19 March 2001 is/are: a		by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		<del>-</del>			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ Ali b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau		_			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
1) U Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)			

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's request for reconsideration (filed 19 April 2006) of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action (mailed 26 February 2003) is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn. However, the applicants arguments have also made plain that this application contains claims directed to plural patentably distinct species.

#### Election/Restrictions

2. This application contains claims directed to at least the following patentably distinct species, sub-species, and sub-sub-species:

Species I, drawn to a user input device integrated within a fabric apron [see Fig. 1; Page 5, Lines 4-16 of the instant Specification, for instance]; and

Species II, drawn to a user input device integrated within a pair of fabric pants [see Fig. 2; Page 6, Lines 11-21 of the instant Specification, for instance]; wherein Species I and II each further comprise:

Sub-Species A, wherein the user input device is a drawing tablet or mouse cursor movement panel apparatus for detecting pointing-type input [see Page 8, Lines 17-23 of the instant Specification, for instance]; and

Sub-Species B, wherein the user input device is a keyboard apparatus for detecting keystroke-type input [see Fig. 4; Page 7, Lines 3-10 of the instant Specification, for instance]; wherein Sub-Species B further comprises:

Sub-Sub-Species 1, wherein the keyboard-type apparatus is a traditional keyboard system [see Page 5, Lines 14-16 of the instant Specification, for instance]; and

Sub-Sub-Species 2, wherein the keyboard-type apparatus is a chording keyboard system [see Page 5, Lines 17-26 of the instant Specification, for instance.

The species, sub-species, and sub-sub-species are respectively independent or distinct because the species do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; the species are not obvious variants; and the species, sub-species, and sub-sub-species respectively each have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, and effect. Although the aforementioned divergent subject matter was intact at the time of the previous office action (mailed 26 February 2003), the applicant's arguments filed 19 April 2006 have persuaded the examiner that independent/distinct species are present, which if left unchecked would result in a serious examination burden henceforth.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, sub-species, and (if appropriate) sub-sub-species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be

Application/Control Number: 09/751,076

Art Unit: 2629

restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims appear to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species, sub-species, and (if appropriate) sub-sub-species that are elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species, sub-species, and (if appropriate) sub-sub-species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

3. A telephone call was made to Mr. Francis Lammes (Registration Number 55,353) on 18 July 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species, sub-species, and (if appropriate) sub-sub-species; or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species, sub-species, and (if appropriate) sub-sub-species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with

traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species, sub-species, and (if appropriate) sub-sub-species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species, sub-species, and (if appropriate) sub-sub-species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

### **Drawings**

- 4. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference signs mentioned in the description: "400" and "402" (see Page 7, Lines 6 and 9 of the instant specification). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 5. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "300" has been used to designate both the data processing system and the keyboard unit

(see Figs. 3 and 4); because reference character "302" has been used to designate both the bus and the wireless unit (see Figs. 3 and 4); because reference character "510" has been used to designate both the switch unit and the keyboard wire (see Fig. 5C); because reference character "504" has been used to designate both the "electrically responsive liquid" and the "top portion" (see Page 7, Lines 16 and 24 of the instant specification); and because reference character "502" has been used to designate both the "capsule" and the "switch unit" (see Page 8, Lines 4 and 7 of the instant specification). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The drawings are further objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference characters "500" and "510" have both been used to designate the switch unit (see Figs. 5B and 5C). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and

Application/Control Number: 09/751,076

Art Unit: 2629

informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Page 7

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeff Piziali whose telephone number is (571) 272-7678. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (6:30AM - 3PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bipin Shalwala can be reached on (571) 272-7681. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

18 July 2006